

## **EXHIBIT 5**

**In the Matter Of:**

*Civil Investigation Demand - No. 30762*

---



*February 28, 2022*

---



1                   UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
2                   ANTITRUST DIVISION, WASHINGTON, D.C.

2

3                   PURSUANT TO CIVIL INVESTIGATION DEMAND NO. 30762

4

5                   "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL"

6

7                   30 (b) (6) DEPOSITION OF

8                   [REDACTED]

9                   ON BEHALF OF ALPHABET, INC.

10                  FEBRUARY 28, 2022

11

12                  ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF [REDACTED],

13 produced as a witness at the instance of the United  
14 States Department of Justice and duly sworn, was taken  
15 in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 28th day  
16 of February, 2022, from 8:36 a.m. to 5:59 p.m. PST,  
17 before Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in  
18 and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized  
19 stenotype machine, all parties appearing remotely via  
20 web videoconference, pursuant to the rules of procedure  
21 and the provisions stated on the record or attached  
22 hereto.

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES  
(ALL APPEARED VIA ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE.)  
2

3 FOR GOOGLE:

4 Ms. Julie Elmer  
5 Ms. Daphne Lin  
FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER  
6 701 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 200047 Telephone: 202.777.4500  
E-mail: julie.elmer@freshfields.com  
8

9 FOR U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ANTITRUST DIVISION:

10 Mr. Brent Nakamura  
11 Mr. Arshia Najafi  
12 Attorney at Law  
450 5th Northwest, Suite 8700  
Washington, D.C. 2000113 Telephone: 202.307.0924  
14 E-mail: brent.nakamura@usdoj.gov15 ALSO PRESENT: Ryan LaFond, Videographer;  
16 [REDACTED]; Seumas Macneil;  
[REDACTED]; Daniel Bitton  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

3

1

## 2 INDEX

3

PAGE

4	Examination by Mr. Nakamura .....	7
5	Signature Page .....	246
5	Court Reporter's Certificate .....	248

6

## EXHIBITS

7

8

EXHIBIT

DESCRIPTION

PAGE

9

10	Exhibit 1	Civil Investigative Demand	13
11	Exhibit 2	Civil Investigative Demand Schedule for Alphabet, Inc.	15
12	Exhibit 3	10-28-21 Letter to U.S. Department of Justice from Julie Elmer	48
13	Exhibit 4	11-15-21 Letter to U.S. Department of Justice from Julie Elmer	56
14	Exhibit 5	9-14-21 Letter to U.S. Department of Justice from Julie Elmer	59
15	Exhibit 6	10-4-21 Letter to U.S. Department of Justice from Julie Elmer	65
16	Exhibit 7	2-25-22 Letter to U.S. Department of Justice from Julie Elmer	67
17	Exhibit 8	(Clawed Back by Counsel)	161
18	Exhibit 9	(Clawed Back by Counsel)	171

24

25

119

1 been done that was contributed to Project Sunday.

2 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Okay. Thank you.

3 And what data sources did Alphabet  
4 employees rely upon for any financial analyses prepared  
5 for Project Sunday?

6 MS. ELMER: Object as invading the work  
7 product doctrine and the attorney/client privilege.

8 A. Okay. So I can't answer for those reasons.

9 MR. NAKAMURA: I'm sorry. To be clear,  
10 are you instructing [REDACTED] not to answer?

11 MS. ELMER: Yes.

12 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) And will you follow your  
13 counsel's instruction?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. So if you turn to Exhibit 7, page 4 at the  
16 bottom -- let me know if you need us to refresh that or  
17 if you have it up.

18 A. Is this the 225 -- oh, no, wait. That's  
19 No. 20.

20 Q. That's my internal tab number. That is  
21 Exhibit 7. So it is the February 25th letter.

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. Let me know when you have that up.

24 A. Page 4, yes. I have that up.

25 Q. So I just want to make sure that in the last

136

1 contributions by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

2 Q. I'm sorry, two clarifications. Do you mean

3 [REDACTED]?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And what was the last name that you mentioned?

6 A. [REDACTED].

7 Q. That's [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. Is that correct?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Thank you.

11 Did any Alphabet employee create a  
12 financial forecast as part of Project Monday?

13 A. I think that's privileged.

14 Q. I'll ask again. If your counsel wants to  
15 object, she can.

16 Did any Alphabet employee create a  
17 financial forecast as part of Project Monday?

18 A. It's still privileged. I think I answered it.

19 You're asking me what --

20 MS. ELMER: Yeah. Brent, our position  
21 here is that you guys are not entitled to know the  
22 substantive details of these work product and  
23 attorney/client privileged projects. We're here to  
24 provide, you know, a verbal privilege log, and that's  
25 what we're doing.

137

1                   MR. NAKAMURA: Okay. So I'll ask the  
2 question again; if you want to instruct him not to  
3 answer, let's do that and we'll move forward.

4           Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Did any Alphabet employee  
5 create a financial forecast as part of Project Monday?

6           A. How many times are you going to ask me?

7           Q. You need to answer my question unless your  
8 counsel specifically instructs you not to answer based  
9 on this; then we can just move on.

10          A. For the third time, that's privileged. I don't  
11 understand the disagreement here.

12           MS. ELMER: Yeah. Brent, if you want me  
13 to do a song and dance, okay. I instruct him not to  
14 answer to the extent that it seeks information protected  
15 by the work product doctrine and the attorney/client  
16 privilege.

17          Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Okay. And, [REDACTED], do  
18 you have any information to provide me subject to your  
19 counsel's instruction regarding privilege?

20           MS. ELMER: And work product.

21          A. Again --

22          Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) No. My question,  
23 [REDACTED], is do you have any information to provide  
24 me subject to your counsel's instruction regarding any  
25 privilege?

138

1 MS. ELMER: Or work product.

2 A. Do I have anything to provide? No, I don't.

3 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Do you have information that  
4 you know but are refusing to provide on the basis of  
5 privilege?

6 MS. ELMER: That's ridiculous, Brent. I  
7 really instruct you to stop harassing the witness here.  
8 That's enough. He's answered your question. Let's move  
9 on to the other topics in the CID.

10 MR. NAKAMURA: Counsel, I need a  
11 refusal --

12 MS. ELMER: No. You don't need. You've  
13 already gotten your answer, Brent.

14 MR. NAKAMURA: So, Ms. Elmer, I think we  
15 both know that as a legal requirement I need to ask the  
16 question and he needs to refuse if he's going to refuse  
17 on the basis of a valid privilege, and that's fine.

18 We can move forward professionally and  
19 quickly through this, but procedure needs to be  
20 followed. And to the extent you would like to refuse to  
21 follow that procedure, that is your choice.

22 But we are where we are today and I'm  
23 going to keep asking. To the extent he refuses to  
24 answer for some other reason or is not responsive to my  
25 questions, we have other remedies, and that's fine.

139

1 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) So my question is do you  
2 have information that you know but are refusing to  
3 provide on the basis of privilege?

4 A. I can't answer that for two reasons: one, due  
5 to privilege and, two, because I think this is  
6 obnoxious.

7 Q. My question is simply, [REDACTED], whether  
8 you have information that you're withholding on the  
9 basis of privilege, which is fine. I just need to know  
10 that that information exists.

11 A. I can't answer whether information exists or  
12 not because that would violate privilege.

13 MS. ELMER: What information -- what do  
14 you mean by "information," Brent? Maybe if you can ask  
15 a better question, we can get somewhere. Why don't you  
16 rephrase your question.

17 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) [REDACTED], my question is  
18 did any Alphabet employee provide -- I'm sorry.

19 Did any Alphabet employee create a  
20 financial forecast as a part of Project Monday?

21 MS. ELMER: And so [REDACTED] has  
22 already declined to answer on the basis of the work  
23 product doctrine. What more do you need?

24 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Is that correct,  
25 [REDACTED]?

143

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What data sources did Alphabet employees rely  
3 upon for any divestiture analyses prepared for Project  
4 Monday?

5 MS. ELMER: Same instruction and same  
6 objection.

7 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) And, [REDACTED], will you  
8 follow that instruction?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. What data sources did Alphabet employees rely  
11 upon for any pricing analyses prepared for Project  
12 Monday?

13 MS. ELMER: Same instruction and same  
14 objection.

15 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) [REDACTED], will you  
16 follow that instruction?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Are there any successor projects to Project  
19 Monday?

20 MS. ELMER: Object to your question as  
21 outside the scope of the CID and as invading the work  
22 product doctrine and the attorney/client privilege.

23 I instruct the witness not to answer the  
24 question.

25 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Will you follow that

144

1 instruction?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. All right. I will now ask you about Project  
4 SingleClick, which is part of specifications 1d and  
5 specification 2. So if you'd turn to Exhibit 7, page 2.  
6 That's the file name that begins with 20 previously in  
7 front of you. Please, [REDACTED], let me know when  
8 you are there.

9 A. I am there.

10 Q. Who chose the name "Project SingleClick" for  
11 this project?

12 A. I believe it was [REDACTED]

13 Q. And what is the subject matter of Project  
14 SingleClick?

15 MS. ELMER: I instruct the witness not to  
16 answer to the extent that answering would invade the  
17 privilege or work product doctrine, but you may answer  
18 if you can do so without invading the privilege, [REDACTED]

19 A. Sure. It was an analysis of potential remedies  
20 to some anticipated regulatory actions.

21 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) And was the project  
22 undertaken in anticipation of litigation concerning  
23 Google's AdTech business?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And what was the goal of Project SingleClick?

158

1 question is getting at the substance of a work product  
2 project. So on that basis I'll instruct the witness not  
3 to answer.

4 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Will you follow Ms. Elmer's  
5 instruction?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Did Alphabet project, model or otherwise  
8 consider any cost savings as a part of Project  
9 SingleClick?

10 MS. ELMER: Same instruction.

11 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Will you follow Ms. Elmer's  
12 instruction?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did Project SingleClick incorporate any other  
15 financial analyses created by Alphabet employees prior  
16 to Project SingleClick?

17 MS. ELMER: Same instruction.

18 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Will you follow Ms. Elmer's  
19 instruction?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. What data sources did Alphabet employees rely  
22 upon for any financial analyses prepared for Project  
23 SingleClick?

24 MS. ELMER: Same instruction. And also  
25 object to the form as assuming facts not in evidence.

159

1 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Will you follow Ms. Elmer's  
2 instruction not to answer?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What data sources did Alphabet employees rely  
5 upon in preparing presentations for Project SingleClick?

6 MS. ELMER: Same instruction and object to  
7 the form as assuming facts not in evidence.

8 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) Will you follow Ms. Elmer's  
9 instruction not to answer?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. All righty.

12 MR. NAKAMURA: Let's go off the record

13 briefly.

14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at  
15 2:05 p.m.

16 (Recess taken)

17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at  
18 2:11 p.m.

19 Q. (By Mr. Nakamura) All right. Thanks for  
20 taking that break, [REDACTED].

21 I will now ask you about Project  
22 Stonehenge, which is part of specifications 1c and  
23 specification 2.

24 Who chose the name "Project Stonehenge"  
25 for the project?